

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/088,584		05/21/2002	Arne Johansson	1807-0160P	4871		
2292	7590	11/29/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
		r KOLASCH & BIR	SHARMA, I	SHARMA, RASHMI K			
PO BOX 74 FALLS CH		VA 22040-0747	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
,				3651			
			DATE MAILED: 11/29/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary		10/088,584		JOHANSSON, ARNE						
		Examiner		Art Unit						
	•	Rashmi K. Sharma		3651						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status										
1)⊠ R€	esponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Ju</u>	<u>ine 2004</u> .								
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.									
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition	of Claims									
4)⊠ CI 4a; 5)⊡ CI 6)⊠ CI 7)⊠ CI	Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-9 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-7 and 9 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 8 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Application	Papers									
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 21 March 2002 is/are: a)☒ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.										
Ар	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
	placement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti e oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	·								
Priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119									
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>										
Attachment(s)										
2) Notice of 3) Informati	References Cited (PTO-892)  Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  On Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  O(s)/Mail Date	5) <u> </u>	erview Summary ( per No(s)/Mail Dat otice of Informal Pa her:		2)					

Application/Control Number: 10/088,584

Art Unit: 3651

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fontaine et al. (U.S. Patent number 3,606,384) in view of Cartwright (U.S. Patent number 6,062,982) and further in view of Baxter (U.S. Patent number 6,578,657).

Fontaine et al. disclose an extension device for vehicles comprising a framework with a front end section (left side of figure 2) and a rear end section (right side of figure 2) where the vehicle has a forward and rearward sections (see figure 1), where there is a first articulation member (36) being connected to a second articulation member (37) for allowing pivoting of the vehicle sections relative to one another about a longitudinal axis of the vehicle and a third articulation member (38) in connection with one of the first or second articulation members (36 or 37). Fontaine et al. also discloses the first articulation member (36) comprising a pivot pin (see figure 2) having a circular cross section connected to the third articulation member (38) having a pivot sleeve (the area of 38 where either the pin connects 38 to 36) or the area where the spring 42 connects to 36) with a circular cross section, two parallel girders (27 and 28) extending between

the end sections, an upper supporting portion (22, 24 or 26) having an aperture (see

Application/Control Number: 10/088,584

Art Unit: 3651

figure 1, both ends of 26) for allowing access to the inside of the extension device, a lower portion (11) and side portion (26), all portions extending between the end sections, and a guide pin (30a) with a substantially cylindrical cross section being arranged on the rear end section.

Fontaine as disclosed above, fails to disclose a cardan shaft and a means for supporting a cardan shaft portion extending through the extension device comprising a bearing.

Cartwright does disclose cardan shafts (26 and 32) that allow relative rotation and axial movement between the connected elements.

Baxter does disclose a means for supporting a cardan shaft portion extending through the extension device comprising bearings (34, 44, 46 and 48).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to replace the linkage system, or a portion of the linkage system, of Fontaine's extension device with that of Cartwright's cardan shaft connection to the framework of Fontaine's invention, in order to provide a way of forming an extension device that allows the shafts to rotate and slide relative to one another. Also, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to support the shafts of Fontaine as modified by Cartwright with bearings as taught by Baxter to allow for the shafts to rotate and be fully supported without contact with the frame.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 8 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Reasons for indicating allowable subject matter has been set forth in the previous Office Action.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 and 7-9 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/088,584

Art Unit: 3651

Page 5

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rashmi K. Sharma whose telephone number is 703-306-5952. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen Lillis can be reached on 703-308-3248. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> EILEEN D. LILLIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

rks